

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/532,699	04/26/2005	04/26/2005 Taro Takahashi		8736		
John F McNulty	7590 08/20/200 v. Esquire	EXAMINER				
Paul & Paul		GEORGE, PATRICIA ANN				
2900 Two Thou Philadelphia, P.	sand Market Street A 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1 /			1794			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
			08/20/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	plication No. Applicant(s)						
			10/532,699		TAKAHASHI ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Patricia A. Georg		1794				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ars on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>27 Jul</i> y	/ 2006						
,			ction is non-fina	al.					
3)		<i>7</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims			·					
-									
	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
		iic withdrawi	Thom consider	ation.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- 4 ! . .	- 1 4:						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election require	ment.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: а) 🗌 ассер	oted or b)⊟ obj	ected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dr	awing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/27/2006 & 4/26/2005.	PTO-948)	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carpenter, in view of Takahashi.

Markovic is relied on to provide evidence.

Carpenter teaches ready-to-eat cereal (i.e. processed cereal food, as in claims 3 and 4) is sweetened (i.e. flavors are enhanced, i.e. quality) with a coating comprising a pectin solution. See the abstract.

Markovic provides evidence that pectin is hetero-polysaccharides, consisting of a linear chain of α -(1-4)-linked D-galacturonic acid, as in claim 2. See the 2nd paragraph of the introduction.

Carpenter fails to teach the pectin is derived from white potatoes, and is silent about it being water soluble as applicants' limitations of claim 1.

Takahashi teaches pectin is derived from white potatoes in hot water (i.e. water soluble). See abstract.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the invention of pectin coated cereal, as Carpenter, to include pectin derived from any source known to be functional to provide pectin, such as

Application/Control Number: 10/532,699 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

white potatoes, as applicants' claimed limitation, because Takahashi teaches use of pectin derived from white potatoes has the benefit of being more flavorful. See abstract.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia A. George whose telephone number is (571) 272-5955. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue. - Fri. between 9:00 am and 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on (571) 272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia A George Examiner Art Unit 1794

/Patricia A George/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794